

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/599,680	06/19/2007	Jae Won You	Q97406	2749
2337 10/16/2008 SUGHRUE MIÓN, PLLC 2100 PENNS YI, VANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			BLAKELY III, NELSON CLARENCE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary 10/599,680 YOU ET AL. Examiner Art Unit NELSON C. BLAKELY III 1614

Application No.

Applicant(s)

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -- Period for Reply

renou ic	n Kepiy	
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET WHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MALLING DATE OF T making of time may be available unded the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ported for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and ret to reply with me set or extended period for reply will by statute, cause the are reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this del patient term adjustment. Sea 37 CFR 1.70(b).	HIS COMMUNICATION. vent, however, may a reply be timely filed will expire SIX (6) MCNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. plication to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is $FINAL$. $2b)$ This action is Since this application is in condition for allowance exceptosed in accordance with the practice under Ex parts G	t for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
Dispositi	ion of Claims	
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-Z is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from or Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) 1-Z are subject to restriction and/or election recommendations.	
Applicati	ion Papers	
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) accepted or by applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is requested to by the Examiner. No	be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ired if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority u All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have be 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have be 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have be application from the International Bureau (PCT Ru See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the cert	en received. en received in Application No nents have been received in this National Stage tle 17.2(a)).
Attachmen	t(s)	
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) matter Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08) r Nots/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/599,680 Page 2

Art Unit: 1614

DETAILED ACTION

Application Status

Claims 1-7 of the instant application are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1, 6 and 7, drawn to pentaerythritol derivatives represented by the Formula 1.

Group II, claim(s) 2-5, drawn to a method for preparing pentaerythritol derivatives.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons.

Group II involves a method for preparing the pentaerythritol derivatives. The methodological steps, with regard to novelty, is not shared by Group I; therefore, the special technical feature of Group II is not present in Group I.

With regard to Groups I and II, Applicant is required to elect a single disclosed compound of Formula 1.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,680

Art Unit: 1614

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

A single disclosed compound of Formula 1.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

A single disclosed compound of Formula 1 – Instant claims 1-7.

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-7.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons.

An international application should relate to only one invention or, if there is more than one invention, the inclusion of those inventions in one international application is Application/Control Number: 10/599,680

Art Unit: 1614

only permitted if all inventions are so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1). With respect to the species, unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" shall mean those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The claims herein lack unity of invention under PCT Rule 13.1 and 13.2 because the instant invention does not set forth a technical relationship among the claimed inventions. For instance, the instant invention lacks unity in that the substituent R, set forth in instant claim 1 (e.g. same, saturated, linear alkyl groups of 1 carbon atom having a hydrogen group; different, unsaturated, branched alkyl groups of 24 carbon atoms having a hydroxyl group) do not share a technical relationship or biological, physical, or chemical properties. Therefore, with compositions comprising components of varying structural moieties, such as those claimed in instant claim 1, there is not a technical relationship among the claimed inventions.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does Application/Control Number: 10/599.680

Art Unit: 1614

not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the

Art Unit: 1614

above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Conclusion

Claims 1-7 are subject to a restriction/election of species requirement.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NELSON C. BLAKELY III whose telephone number is (571) 270-3290. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs, 7:00 am - 5:30 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin H. Marschel can be reached on (571) 272-0718. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/N. C. B. III/ Examiner, Art Unit 1614

/Ardin Marschel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1614 Application/Control Number: 10/599,680

Page 7

Art Unit: 1614